

11:25

Transmitted herewith for filing via facsimile:

Date

LSI Logic Corporation 1621 Barber Lane MS: AD-106, Legal Milpitas. CA 95035

Date _	December 2, 2005		RECEIVE CENTRAL FAX C DEC 0 2 2					
Number of	pages including cover sheet	4						
To: Mail Stop Non-Fee			From: Mark Salvatore					
Amendm	ent		Intellectual	Property Paralegal				
TC 1742			Telephone	(408) 433-7472				
Fax No.	(571) 273-8300		No.	•				
Phone No.	,		Fax No.	(408) 433-7460				
CC:								
			× **					
MARKS:								
	☐ Urgent ☐	For your review	Reply A	SAP Please comment				

Amendment in response to the Office Action dated November 16, 2005.
Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.8, I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below:
December 2 2005

Signature

Mark Salvatore

Please contact us at (408) 433-7191 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

		us	Patent and T	Approved (c	or use through 07/31/2000 office: U.S. DEPARTMEN	3. OMB 0651-0031		
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of	995, no persor	ns are required to respond to a co	lection of in	formation un	less it displays a valid ON	AB control number.		
		Application Number	10/693.14	3				
TRANSMITTAL	1	Filing Date	October 2	4, 2003		DEC		
FORM		First Named Inventor	Berman, f	Aichael J.		CENTRAL FAX		
		Art Unit	1742			TAL FAX		
(to be used for all correspondence after i	rifia) filiner	Examiner Name	Alexander	, Mi chael P.		DEC n 2		
Total Number of Pages In This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	03-0702					
	ENC	LOSURES (Check a)	i that apph	y)				
		······································	,,,,	·	After Allowance Comm	unication to TC		
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			Appeal Communication	An Board		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeals and Interfer			
Amendment/Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication			
Amendment/Reply		Petition to Convert to a			Appeal Notice, Brief, Re			
After Final		Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation	00	ll '	Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Change of Correspondence	Address	<u></u>	Status Letter			
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (pk selow):	ase Identify		
		Dogwood for Bofund		"	,e.o.,			
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund						
Information Disclosure Statemen	a L	CD, Number of CD(s)		1				
		Landscape Table on C	D					
Certified Copy of Priority	Rema	arks		- L				
Document(s)		- Response to Official Action (Restriction/Election Requirement)						
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		- Response to Official	Action (Re	striction/El	ection Requirement)			
Reply to Missing Parts						4		
under 37 CFR 1,52 or 1.	13					1		
SIG	NATURE (OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, C	R AGE	NT			
Firm Name LSI Logic Corporation					` • •			
Signature MMW	U	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Printed name Timothy R. Croll			· ·			***************************************		
Date 1 Dec 05			Reg. No.	38,771				
				<u> </u>				
	CERTIF	CATE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	LING)		
I hereby certify that this correspondence sufficient postage as first class mail in authe date shown below:	is being facs envelope ac	imile transmitted to the USP1 ddressed to: Commissioner fo	rO or depos or Patents, I	sited with the P.O. Box 14	na United States Posta 450, Alexandria, VA 2	Il Service with 2313-1450 on		
Signature	1 24	26		:				
				r	Date			
Typed or printed name Mark Salvato	16] '	127 - 27 - 275	~ /		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

11:25

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re Application of: Michael J. Berman, et al. | DEC 0 2 2005 | Serial No.: 10/693,143 | Atty. Docket No.: 03-0702 | Filed: October 24, 2003 | For: ABRASIVE ELECTROLYTE | December 24, 2003 | De

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION Restriction/Election Requirement

Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This response is presented to the Office Action mailed November 16, 2005, wherein the Examiner required restriction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §121. Election is hereby made, with traverse, to prosecute Group I, i.e., solution claims 1-15.

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of the restriction is respectfully requested. Restriction is not required by 35 U.S.C. §121, as suggested in the Office Action. Congress wisely granted the discretion to restrict applications. According to 35 U.S.C, §121 "... the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted...." (emphasis added).

Furthermore, MPEP § 803 lists two criteria that must be present for restriction to be proper:

- 1) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and
- 2) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required.

In searching the Group I claims, the class and subclass for the Group II claims will undoubtedly be searched, to ensure that no relevant art is overlooked. For this reason, there is no significant burden on the examiner, and certainly no serious burden as required by MPEP §121.

Application 10/693,143; filed 10/24/2003

Page 1 of 2

Attorney Docket: 03-0702

In fact, maintaining the requirement for restriction not only burdens applicants with the additional costs associated with filing and prosecuting separate patent applications, but also requires the examiner to duplicate efforts by examining multiple applications of closely related inventions. Such practice not only wastes public and private funds and Patent Office resources, but also leads to the possibility of inconsistent examinations of closely related inventions. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

In light of the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that a full and complete response to the Office Action is provided herein, and request that the application proceed to examination.

In the event this response is not timely filed, applicants hereby petition for the appropriate extension of time and request that the fee for the extension along with any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper be charged to deposit account 12-2252.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael J. Berman, et al.

By Timothy R. Croll Reg. No. 36,771

(408) 433-7625 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 Barber Lane M/S D-106 Milpitas, CA 95035-7451

Date: November 29, 2005